	Case 5:07-cv-02350-PVT Document 18 Filed 10/09/07 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION
11	
12	FELIX TORRES, JR.,) Case No.: C-07-02350 PVT
13	Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT TO U.S. DISTRICT
14	v. JUDGE
15	HSBC BANK OF NEVADA, et al.,
16	Defendants.
17	
18	On August 22, 2007, the court ordered plaintiff to submit further briefing on whether he
19	may consent to a magistrate judge and later withdraw his consent to a magistrate judge and
20	request reassignment to a district judge. Further briefing was due on or before September 25,
21	2007. Rather than submit further briefing, plaintiff filed a <u>second</u> request for reassignment to a
22	district judge on August 27, 2007.
23	"The right to adjudication before an Article III judge is an important constitutional
24	right.' However, like other fundamental rights, this right can be waived if the parties consent to
25	trial before a magistrate judge. Once a civil case is referred to a magistrate judge under §636(c),
26	the reference can be vacated by the court only 'for good cause shown on its own motion or under
27	extraordinary circumstances shown by any party." Rogers v. Shepherd, Slip copy, 2007 WL
28	2255115 (E.D. Cal.). See also, McNab v. J & J Marine, Inc., 240 F. 3d 1326, 1327 (11th Cir.

Case 5:07-cv-02350-PVT Document 18 Filed 10/09/07 Page 2 of 2

2001)("[A] party's consent to a magistrate judge's exercise of jurisdiction under 28 USC § 636(c)(1) must be explicit, voluntary and unambiguous."). On May 15, 2007, plaintiff filed his written consent to proceed before a magistrate judge. There has been no showing that consent was not explicit, not voluntary or ambiguous. Moreover, plaintiff has not shown extraordinary circumstances which would warrant vacating the reference to a magistrate judge. Accordingly, plaintiff's second request for reassignment to a district judge is denied.1 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 10/9/2007 Patricia V. Trumbull PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge

The holding of this court is limited to the facts and the particular circumstances underlying the present second request for reassignment to a district judge.